arXiv:astro-ph/0411738v1 26 Nov 2004

A Search for Narrow Vertical Structures in the Canadian Galactic Plane Survey

Ashish Asgekar[1], Jayanne English, Samar Safi-Harb[2]

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB R3T 2N2, Canada

and

Roland Kothes

National Research Council of Canada, Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics, Dominion Radio Astrophysical Observatory, PO Box 248, Penticton, British Columbia, V2A 6J9, Canada and the Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, AB, T2N 1N4, Canada

ashish@physics.umanitoba.ca, jayanne_english@umanitoba.ca, safiharb@cc.umanitoba.ca, Roland.Kothes@nrc-cnrc.gc.ca

Received _____; accepted _____

To be submitted to AJ

[1]Currently at Raman Research Institute, Bangalore, India 560 080.

[2]NSERC/University Faculty Award fellow

ABSTRACT

Worms are defined to be dusty, atomic hydrogen (HI) structures which are observed in low resolution data to rise perpendicular to the Galactic plane. Data from the $1'$ resolution Canadian Galactic Plane Survey (CGPS) were systematically searched for narrow vertical HI structures which could be resolved worms. Another motivation for the search was to explore the scenario that mushroom-shaped worms like GW123.4-1.5, studied by English and collaborators, could be generated by a single supernova. However no other vertical structures of mushroom-shape morphology were found. We also examined objects previously classified as worm candidates by Koo and collaborators; only 7 have a significant portion of their structure falling in the CGPS range of $l = 74°$ to 147°, $-3.5° < b < +5.5°$. Apart from GW123.4-1.5 we could not confirm that any of these are coherent structures that extend towards the Milky Way's halo. However a list of 10 narrow, vertical structures found in our search is furnished; one structure is $\gtrsim 500\,\mathrm{pc}$ tall, thus extending from the Galactic plane into the halo. We provide details about these narrow vertical structures, including comparisons between HI, radio continuum, IR, and CO observations. Our search was conducted by visual inspection and we describe the limitations of this approach since it indicates that only 6 disk-halo features may exist throughout the Milky Way. We also discuss possible origins of structures at high latitudes and the relationship between mushroom-shaped clouds and old supernova remnants.

Subject headings: Galaxy: structure — Galaxy: halo — ISM: structure — ISM: HI — ISM: supernova remnants — ISM: Individual (GW 123.4-1.5) — Surveys

1. Introduction

Atomic hydrogen (HI) gas structures called shells, chimneys, and worms are superimposed on our Milky Way's interstellar gas disk, which in turn displays an enhanced spiral structure and has a Gaussian Full-Width-Half-Maximum (FWHM) thickness of $\sim 210\,\mathrm{pc}$ (Dickey & Lockman 1990). Some of these structures could be relics of violent phenomena such as stellar winds and supernovæ (SNe) which continually churn up and inject energy into the interstellar medium (ISM). For example, young star clusters are expected to excavate large cavities, or superbubbles, in the Galactic plane. Chimneys are theorized to be an evolutionary phase in the lifetime of these superbubbles and to act as conduits which transport ionizing radiation and hot gas to the halo of the Milky Way. The presence and maintenance of warm and hot ionized gas far above the plane arises naturally in this "chimney model" of the interstellar medium (Norman & Ikeuchi 1989).

More specifically, chimneys are expected to evolve out of supershells, which are HI skins of superbubbles consisting of hot gas generated by young stars and SNe. Often, supershells are identified as voids in the spectral-line maps of HI and have sizes of $\sim 500\,\mathrm{pc}$ (McClure-Griffiths *et al.* 2000). These structures are too big to be attributed to a single supernova explosion or winds from a single star. However, simple scenarios which use multiple SNe or clustering of O-type stars in order to form thin-shelled superbubbles (Mac Low, McCray & Norman 1989), still can not account for the sizes and energy requirements of some observed supershells (*e.g.* Heiles 1984).

Heiles (1984) and later Koo, Heiles & Reach (1992, hereafter KHR) used spatial filtering of velocity-integrated HI line data, along with its correlation to infrared (IR) data, to discover dusty, wiggly gas elements "crawling away from the Galactic plane". These were termed "worms" and their origin has not been fully explained. At least some were believed to be post-cursors of broken walls of HI supershells. Thus, worms could be

conduits in transferring ionized matter from the disk to higher Galactic latitudes, and the warm ionized medium (Reylolds 1989, 1991) was proposed to be related to the observed ionized gas associated with these worm candidates (Heiles, Reach & Koo 1996). Based on the distribution of candidates in their survey, KHR estimated a total of 50-100 chimneys in the Galaxy. However, the KHR catalog may be affected by the confusion of intrinsically separate HI clouds. For example, approaches similar to KHR's methodology that have been applied to other data sets, *e.g.* the Leiden-Dwingloo Survey over the CGPS range (LDS, Burton & Hartmann 1994), have not recovered most of the worms cataloged by KHR and have generated other worm candidates not found previously (Normandeau 2003).

A KHR worm candidate, GW123.4-1.5, was studied using HI line data collected from 0° to 6° below the Galactic plane with the synthesis telescope at Dominion Radio Astrophysical Observatory (DRAO, Landecker et al. 2000). These data were contiguous with the Canadian Galactic Plane Survey (CGPS, Taylor *et al.* 2003), which terminated at $b \sim -3.5°$. The study revealed that it resembled a mushroom in its appearance, with a stem and a cap (English *et al.* 2000). Although superbubbles created by winds from multiple stars could appear mushroom-like (Tenorio-Tagle *et al.* 1987; Mac Low, McCray & Norman 1989), this worm is not consistent with these classical theories, since more mass is in the cap than in the stem (3:1). Additionally, the classical models only form caps in the halo while the top of the structure is 420 pc away from the Galactic plane. This height is about 80 pc short of the canonical 500-pc altitude that is associated with the commencement of the halo in HI observations (Lockman, Hobbs, & Shull 1986). The kinetic energy of the associated HI gas is estimated to be 1×10^{50} ergs, which indicates that only an energy input equivalent to that of a single supernova is necessary to result in such an object. This modest energy budget allows for alternative scenarios. For example, the "mushroom" could be produced by the impact of an infalling cloud (Takahiro & Basu 2003) or the buoyant rise of hot gas, including gas accumulated in the Galactic plane (de Avillez & Mac Low

2001). Also, rather than being in the class of large cluster-blown supershells (such as GW162.6+4.9, another KHR candidate of length $\sim 1\,\mathrm{kpc}$), GW123.4-1.5 may have evolved from their smaller cousin, a single supernova event. This further suggests a reinvestigation of the origin of worms using high-resolution CGPS data.

The mushroom-shaped cloud may still be able to transport matter into the halo. Two numerical models (English *et al.* 2000; de Avillez & Mac Low 2001) associate the cloud with a bubble of hot gas rising away from the disk buoyantly. English *et al.* (2000) modeled the evolution of a single, off-plane supernova. In the scenario by de Avillez & Mac Low (2001), hot gas from dispersed SNe and hot young stars collects in a reservoir and subsequently a bubble of ionized gas rises from this pool buoyantly. Both scenarios indicate that a buoyant structure evolves into a mushroom shape in 3-8 Myr.

We would like to test the single supernova scenario above. We suspect that some correlation would then exist between the properties of GW123.4-1.5-type worms and late-type supernova remnant (SNR) shells. In particular, we ought to observe a transition phase between the morphology of late type SNRs (*e.g.* G55.0+0.3, Matthews, Wallace & Taylor 1998), with ages around 2–7 Myr, and HI clouds that are just developing a mushroom shape. While SNRs of ages greater than 4 Myr are only proposed in theory, expanding HI shells, possibly due to SNRs of age 3–4 Myr, have been detected and characterized as a part of the Phase-I data of the CGPS (CGPS-I, Normandeau, *et al.* 2000; Stil & Irwin 2001). The expanding shell GSH138–01–94, with a radius of about 180 pc, has been interpreted as bubble powered by the joint action of strong stellar winds from early-type stars and SN explosions (Uyaniker & Kothes 2002) or as an old SNR expanding in a low-density, low-metallicity environment (Stil & Irwin 2001). The latter view indicates that comparisons between SNRs and worms within a homogeneous data set, though difficult, are possible.

As an initial step we have searched for narrow vertical structures and mushroom-shaped structures in the CGPS-I data. We found 10 vertical structures; these particular objects did not allow us to characterize them according to their plausible evolutionary sequence towards acquiring their mushroom shape, and subsequent correlation with late stages of SNR shells. However, this paper catalogs these structures, examines the issue of confusion between sources in HI data cubes, and considers why vertical structures are rare in a data set with $1'$ resolution.

In this search, we systematically examined the HI cubes from the CGPS-I data *visually* (§ 3.1) with the aim of detecting narrow HI features that were more or less perpendicular to the Galactic plane and which displayed coherence in velocity. The attention to velocity signatures was motivated by the velocity gradient displayed by GW123.4-1.5 (§ 3.1.1). In § 3.1.1 we also describe our examination of the KHR worm candidates. Next we describe our approach for examining the local standard of rest (LSR) velocity ranges in which a vertical structure with a height of 500 pc should be resolvable (§ 3.1.2), detecting no new candidates. We then outline our method (§ 3.2) in deriving the properties of our 10 candidate structures.

Our results (§ 4) include comments on individual objects, including comparisons with IR, radio continuum and CO emission and our assessment that none of the KHR worm candidates falling within the CGPS range, other than GW123.4-1.5, are coherent structures. We include in our list of detections 8 non-vertical interesting HI features we encountered in the data. These, though not relevant to our study, may be important in their own right and of interest to some readers. In § 5, we estimate the number of narrow, vertical structures in the Milky Way and the challenges associated with detecting these. We also review possible formation scenarios of structures extending into the halo, and briefly outline future work.

2. Data

2.1. CGPS Data Sets

The CGPS is a project that coordinates the collection of radio, millimeter and IR data surveying 73 degrees of the Galactic plane at arc-minute spatial resolution (Taylor *et al.* 2003). DRAO component of CGPS data consists of synthesis HI spectral-line observations; radio continuum images at 1420 MHz including linear polarization; and total power radio continuum images at 408 MHz. Data from the 26-meter dish of the DRAO, which provided zero-spacings for the HI line data, were also released as an independent survey (hereafter LRDS, Higgs & Tapping 2000). The CGPS collection includes the following data sets processed to match the 1 arc-minute resolution at 21-cm: molecular-line (^{12}CO) data from the Outer Galaxy Survey (OGS) by the Five College Radio Astronomy Observatory (FCRAO, Heyer *et al.* 1998); IRAS Galaxy Atlas (IGA, Cao *et al.* 1997, 60 & 100 μm bands); and the Mid-Infrared Galaxy Atlas (MIGA, Kerton & Martin 2000, 12 and 25 μm).

Briefly, the DRAO 21-cm data sets cover the Galactic mid-plane from $-3.6^\circ < b < +5.6^\circ$ (Galactic latitude) and $l = 74.2^\circ$ to 147.3° (Galactic longitude); the HI spectral-line data cubes cover LSR velocities $-150 < v < 50$ km s^{-1} with a velocity resolution of 1.3 km s^{-1} (channel width of 0.82446 km s^{-1}). The 74 cm data have a $\sim 3.4'$ resolution and span $-6.7^\circ < b < +8.7^\circ$. A single-channel HI line map, for a declination δ, has a rms level in brightness temperature of $3.5\, sin\delta$ K whereas the typical rms levels in radio continuum maps are $71\, sin\delta$ mK and $750\, sin\delta$ mK at 20 cm and 74 cm, respectively. In all these maps the rms varies by ~ 1.6 over the CGPS mosaic area from its lowest value at the center of each synthesis field. The FCRAO data only cover $l = 102.5^\circ$ to $l = 141.5^\circ$ and $b = -3.03^\circ$ to $b = +5.6^\circ$ and v= -150 km s^{-1} to +40km s^{-1}. We used the version of the IRAS data that had been reprocessed to 1 arc-minute resolution for the entire CGPS spatial range.

For our initial search and analysis we used the CGPS HI line data mosaics, which consist of 2.5° diameter synthesis fields combined into $5.12° \times 5.12°$ areas. Subsequently we searched the other CGPS data sets for associated emission in radio continuum, IR, and CO. Where fields larger than the CGPS mosaics were required, supermosaics were generated using DRAO's software. We also searched the LRDS for extended vertical structures.

We also refer to phase II of the CGPS, currently underway, which is an extension of the DRAO survey in both Galactic longitude and latitude. CGPS-II is one component of a campaign which also uses the VLA and the Australia Telescope National Facility's Compact Array. The project as a whole is called the International Galactic Plane Survey (IGPS).

2.2. Other Data Sets

We examined the Leiden-Dwingloo Survey (Burton & Hartmann 1994) for our comparison with previously studied worms. For multi-wavelength comparisons associated with features spanning the larger field of view of this and the LRDS, we used data sets available at the SkyView database[1] (McGlynn *et al.* 1996). Additionally we used the IRAS fields accessible from SkyView for our examination of apparently old SNRs outside the CGPS field of view.

3. Analysis

3.1. The Search for Narrow, Vertical Structures

Our general strategy for detecting features rising from the mid-plane towards the halo relied on visualization tools and is outlined here. Our motivations and various approaches

[1]http://skyview.gsfc.nasa.gov/

are detailed in the following subsections. Individual candidate narrow, vertical structures are described in § 4 along with the resulting measurements and derived properties (in Tables 1 and 2).

We began by using the Karma[2] software (Gooch 1996) in order to search for narrow, streaming structures. Those rising away from the plane, more or less at a right angle, will have a fountain-like appearance when the channel maps are viewed in a movie-like manner in animation tools. Although we used logarithmic stretches for intensity displays, we did not integrate over channels or smooth in the spatial dimensions.

Our impressions of a coherent structure could be confirmed using integrated HI brightness temperature maps and intensity-weighted mean velocity maps (zeroth and first moment, respectively; van Gorkom & Ekers 1989). (Note: Although we refer to them as moment 0 maps, multiplication by the velocity width of a channel was omitted by the moment function in tool 'KPVSLICE' at the time of our measurements. Thus our integrated HI brightness temperature maps, in units of kelvin, simply sum intensity over channels.) For example, we constructed a number of integrated HI brightness temperature maps over the entire velocity width of an apparent structure. Each map covered $\lesssim 5\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$ and did not overlap with the velocity range of the adjacent maps. Since a cohesive structure would be present over a velocity range greater than that of the turbulent velocity (5-10 km $\mathrm{s^{-1}}$, e.g. Belfort & Crovisier 1984), we expected it to be present in more than one successive map. Additionally we expected it to appear spatially contiguous (that is without significant gaps) in adjacent channels over its velocity range, unless it had a low signal to noise ratio. We additionally constructed an intensity weighted mean velocity map of the entire structure. We would expect a coherent structure to have a systematic variation in velocity that could be distinguished from small-scale turbulent motions. For example, small, unrelated clouds

[2]http://www.atnf.csiro.au/computing/software/karma/

could spatially overlap throughout some channels and thus appear as one single object in an integrated HI brightness temperature map; however these clouds are expected to produce a pseudo-random appearance in the mean velocity map. A detailed example of how moment maps are used is provided in § 4.1 which describes the results of our examination of KHR worm candidates.

Thus our various examinations of the CGPS HI data cubes included the following steps:

1. Individual channel maps were viewed using animation software in order to pick out any narrow vertical structures with a length:width ratio exceeding 3:1. No other morphological distinctions were considered.

2. Objects were retained that were discernibly 'connected' over a minimum velocity range of 10 km s^{-1}. That is, components of a single structure were expected to be spatially related throughout adjacent channels. For example, a particular shape that appeared to move sideways as we stepped through the channels would be considered connected.

3. Continuity over the entire length of the structure was ensured using integrated HI brightness temperature maps and mean velocity maps, as described above.

4. The structure was examined (using the moment maps and position-velocity diagrams) for interesting velocity signatures, such as expansion in the direction of its width or flow along its length.

5. Regardless of whether a velocity signature was apparent or not, IR and radio continuum images and CO data were overlaid on HI integrated HI brightness temperature maps in order to search for associations between the neutral gas, ionized gas, and dust features.

3.1.1. Searching the Full Spatial and Velocity Range of the Data

As well as sifting through individual fields of the entire CGPS-I, we applied the steps in the previous section to three approaches intended to find structures of any height which extend vertically away from the midplane. As described below, we searched for HI features with some characteristics similar to GW123.4-1.5; we examined KHR worms in detail; and we searched for mushroom-cap structures in IR data.

We initially focused on searching for GW123.4-1.5-type vertical structures whose origins require only a modest input energy (e. g. that of a single SN event). Hence the development of the strategy outlined in the previous section is influenced by observations of GW123.4-1.5. This cloud has a narrow stem with a width-to-length ratio less than 1:5. The stem 'rises' ~ 200 pc roughly perpendicular to the Galactic plane, and it shows a systematic velocity gradient along the length of $12 \pm 3\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$. The $\sim$40-pc width of the stem also has a velocity signature such that emission at the center is red-shifted compared to the edge, which indicates either expansion or contraction. The cloud's cap is about $130\ \mathrm{pc} \times 130\ \mathrm{pc}$ wide and displays little velocity gradient in latitude or longitude. There is a column-density contrast of 1:2 between the surrounding HI and GW123.4-1.5. All these characteristics, including these distinct velocity signatures (illustrated in Figure 1), formed a template that was used to search for similar structures in the data.

[E1]

The examination of the KHR worms (see Table 3) included correlating IR maps with the integrated HI brightness temperature HI maps. This was motivated by the fact that GW123.4-1.5, which was included in their catalog, has significant IR emission associated with its cap region. KHR characterized their candidates with I_{60}/I_{100} ratio, however the

[E1]NOTE TO EDITOR: Please put Figure 1 here.

cap of GW123.4-1.5 is dominated by emission from the foreground star, γ Cass, in the I_{60}/I_{100}-ratio map. This is avoided in the I_{100}/I_{25} map of GW123.4-1.5, where the cap morphology appears most distinct from the ambient emission in the ratio range 12–37 (see Figure 2).

[E2]

Note that without making comparisons with HI emission the GW123.4-1.5 cap is difficult to distinguish from the ambient IR emission using IR maps or IR ratio maps. Nevertheless we additionally searched for 'cap'-like structures in the CGPS IR mosaics and the infrared-ratio maps, particularly using I_{100}/I_{60} maps.

While we did compare CO data with detected HI narrow vertical features, none of the CO clouds from the region of the OGS (§ 2.1) that overlapped with the CGPS clearly correlate with the stem of GW123.4-1.5. Hence, we did not use evidence of a correlation between CO and HI as a criterion for classifying CGPS HI structures as potential disk-halo interaction objects.

3.1.2. Searching the velocity range associated with objects 500 pc tall

The data were also examined for HI structures that would specifically act as conduits in the disk-halo interaction. Such objects would rise from the Galactic plane up to a height of $\sim 500\,\mathrm{pc}$, the canonical height for the commencement of the halo with respect to the HI disk. A feature of this extent will be entirely visible within $b > -3.5^\circ$ if it is at a minimum distance of 8 kpc. If the object is any closer we may only see a part of the structure. If it is mushroom-shaped we may not see the cap, and we may not identify a stem as different

[E2]NOTE TO EDITOR: Please put Figure 2 here.

from the turbulent HI clouds in the field. For example, one of the reasons GW123.4-1.5 was identified as a mushroom-shaped cloud, was the acquisition of synthesis observations of the cap to $b < -5°$, which is outside the range of the CGPS coverage of the plane. Other examples of this problem are provided in § 5.1.1.

Assuming a structure has similar dimensions to GW123.4-1.5, then due to the finite resolution element ($1'$) of the CGPS, a stem 40-pc wide will not be adequately resolved ($6\times$ resolution element) beyond a distance of 23 kpc from the sun. Given that this is the extent of the Milky Way's disk, from a distance of ~ 8 kpc onwards we should find mushroom-shaped candidates, under otherwise perfect circumstances.

Using the Brand & Blitz (1993) model of the rotation curve of the Milky Way, we computed the values of v_{LSR} at different longitudes corresponding to the minimum distance that a mushroom-shaped candidate will be apparent in its entirety. Table 4 summarizes the range of distances and velocities which were visually examined.

3.2. Measurements and Derived Quantities

Once a candidate was identified through inspection of the HI cube, we measured the HI column density, the total amount of HI, the velocity characteristics, and dimensions. The measurement techniques are presented here and the values are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

The FWHM velocity range and velocity centroid were estimated using multiple position-velocity plots across and along the length of a structure. If emission from unrelated structures in adjacent channels hindered this approach, then the FWHM was estimated visually by stepping through channels. An independent check was performed by creating velocity profiles through various points in the structure. The FWHM has a typical error of ~ 2 km s^{-1}.

Integrated brightness temperature maps were used to measure the extent of an object and to produce a column density map. We used the zeroth moment map with no clipping of intensities per channel, in order to sum the intensity values over the entire velocity range determined by visual inspection. The spatial dimensions were measured from this integrated HI brightness temperature map using intensity profiles along and across the structure; the structure's boundaries were defined as having intensity values of 5 σ above the background in the surrounding regions.

We converted an integrated HI brightness temperature map (units of K) to a column density map (units of atoms cm^{-2}) in the usual way for optically thin HI[3] (see eq. 7.2 Butler Burton 1988). We enclosed the structure as tightly as possible with a polygon and computed the total number of pixels and the sum (total integrated column density in the area), mean (column density), and rms of the column density values. The selection of the polygonal area is somewhat arbitrary and leads to the noticeable error described below in § 3.2.1.

For the column density in Table 2 we have not removed a "background component" representing the ambient emission. The spatial area surrounding an object within a channel, as well as channels adjacent to the FWHM range, contain much structure making the assessment of the ambient threshold difficult. We include this omission in our uncertainty, see § 3.2.1.

We wrote a computer program based on the Galactic rotation model of Brand & Blitz (1993) in order to estimate the distance of an HI object using its LSR velocity and its Galactic longitude. (See § 3.2.1 for associated errors.) For each object we used this derived

[3]All arithmetic operations were performed using Astronomical Information Processing Software, AIPS++ (http://aips2.nrao.edu/docs/aips++.html .)

distance to convert from the angular scale to the spatial scale of the object.

In order to compute HI masses we use the sum of values within the polygon. Since this measures the total column density in the structure integrated over the velocity width, we only multiplied by the square of the distance and by the spatial area of a pixel ($18'' \times 18''$ converted to cm^2). This generated the total number of atoms which was subsequently multiplied by the mass of a hydrogen atom and converted to solar masses. This is the first HI mass listed in Table 2 and is an overestimate since we had not removed a contribution from the ambient medium.

An estimate of background contamination is unreliable since the objects of study are usually crowded by extensive non-vertical structures of similar HI column density. In spite of the difficulty in selecting a portion of a field free of such structure, we quote in Table 2 a "revised" HI mass in which the contribution from the ambient medium has been estimated and subtracted. That is, for each object we located, as best we could, a part of sky devoid of large structures in the vertical feature's column density map, and estimated the average column density over that area. Assuming that this background is uniform across the structure of interest, we multiplied the background average column density with the spatial area of the vertical structure to obtain the total background column density. This background column density was converted into HI mass and subtracted from the original HI mass estimate.

3.2.1. Errors in derived properties

In our distance estimation computer program the distance associated with each input longitude is varied in linear steps. For each step we compute the Galactocentric rotation speed (given by Brand & Blitz 1993) and compute the LSR velocity (v_{step}). If v_{step} matches

the input velocity to within 0.1 km s^{-1}, we assign the respective distance (d) to that velocity. This algorithm has a typical error of 0.1 kpc, without any systematic variation. However random HI motions effect the distance estimate. Therefore, for a given input velocity we compute distances corresponding to $v \pm 5\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$. While errors can be quite large in certain longitudinal directions, the distances in Table 2 have typical errors of ~ 0.5 kpc.

The error in the estimates of HI masses have contributions from the distance estimates, the determination of the spatial extents of the sources, the estimate of the number of channels associated with the feature, and our subtraction (or non-subtraction) of the ambient emission. The error in the distance ranges from $\sim 50\%$ at distances $\lesssim 1\,\mathrm{kpc}$ to $\sim 20\%$ at distances of 5 kpc and beyond. The uncertainties in determining the spatial extent of the source arise since it is difficult to distinguish an object from surrounding clouds. While one person's measurements may vary by $\sim 10 - 20\%$, the variation in this estimate between individuals (using different software packages) is up to 25%. The selection of a different range of channels results in a difference in mean temperature of about 10%. The distance and area measurements alone combine to give an uncertainty between 60% and 40%. Additionally, in many areas of the Galactic plane, it is virtually impossible to find cloud-free area of significant size to compute the contamination by the diffuse background. The background subtracted HI masses are typically less than 50% the uncorrected HI masses in Table 2. Combining this with the uncertainties above yields a total uncertainty of at least 80% for nearby objects (≤ 1 kpc) and 60% for more distant objects.

4. Results

E3

[E3]NOTE TO EDITOR: Please place Table 1 nearby.

The objects found in our search, including the narrow vertical structures, are listed in Table 1 (see § 3.2 for measurements). Vertical objects in our list were designated using the format "INVS Glll+bbb+vvv". In anticipation that the more extensive IGPS data (§ 2.1) will contain vertical structures, "INVS" stands for IGPS Narrow Vertical Structures. "Glll+bbb+vvv" gives the location for the extremity of the structure closest to the Galactic plane, including its centroid velocity. Some irregular structures are worth mentioning, and their locations are given as above without the INVS designation. However, in the case of shells and cavities, we give the Galactic coordinates of the center of the shell/cavity along with its centroid velocity. See Table 2 for the derived properties of the structures and § 3.2 and § 3.2.1 for analysis and uncertainties. Most of the structures that are vertical are within 5 kpc from the Sun.

Although many structures were observed with almost vertical orientations, no structure was found with a morphology similar to GW123.4-1.5 with or without the velocity signatures of its stem and the cap. We also failed to detect those objects in the KHR catalog which had a substantial portion of their structure within the CGPS longitude and latitude range (see § 4.1).

No clear narrow vertical structures of 500 pc height extending between the Galactic disk and the halo were apparent in the search in the appropriate velocity per Galactic longitude[4], described in § 3.1.2. Only 10 vertical structures were found over 73° of longitude and 200 km s^{-1} of the CGPS. Five out of 10 of these have heights $\gtrsim$ 200 pc. We elaborate on the individual structures in § 4.2.

[4]One other author also examined 4 fields at the longitudes and velocities in which mushroom-shaped clouds with heights of 500 pc should be visible and did not recognize any.

The vertical structures have no associated radio continuum emission. Three of the vertical structures have 20-cm emission in the field, however there is no morphological correlation between the radio continuum and HI structures. Eight of ten vertical structures have detectable IR emission in the field of view associated with their location, however only in five cases is there a significant morphological correlation between the HI and IR emission.

We also studied the IR emission from GW123.4-1.5, and constructed ratio maps (I_{100}/I_{60}) using CGPS IR data to find new mushroom-shaped structures. One new candidate was discovered using this method. INVS G130.6-3.1-36 is unremarkable in its properties, and given its small size ($\lesssim 100\,\mathrm{pc}$), is not likely important in the disk-halo interaction scenario.

The HI masses of the vertical structures fall in a wide range, from $\sim 100\,M_\odot$ to $\sim 2\times10^5\,M_\odot$. There are possibly three distinct subgroups, $M \lesssim 10^3\,M_\odot$, $2\times10^4\,M_\odot \leq M \leq 6\times10^4\,M_\odot$, and $M > 10^5\,M_\odot$.

In the following we discuss some interesting structures in more detail. None except for INVS G111.4-0.2-45 appears to be an important conduit in the disk-halo interaction.

4.1. Searching for Footprints of Worms in the KHR Catalog

Worm candidates in the KHR catalog (see § 1) appear to extend away from the Galactic plane to the halo of the Milky Way and a fraction of these were argued to be the broken walls of HI surrounding burst superbubbles. KHR found one third of their worm candidates in the outer Galaxy. Apart from searching for new structures we also looked for signatures of these worm candidates in the CGPS data. However only seven KHR worms have $\gtrsim 50\%$ of their area within the longitude-latitude range of the CGPS data (see Table 3). KHR determined the velocity of each candidate by cross-correlating their final

map of candidates with channel maps integrated over 4 km $s^{-1}$and quoted a velocity range for each worm candidate. Within its quoted range each candidate in the CGPS should display a significant column density enhancement over a vertical angular scale close to that cited in the catalog. We also expect a worm to display a continuous velocity signature along the components of its structure— defined by the column density enhancement— since they have a common origin. HI emission exists on all scales in the Galaxy, and if not checked for velocity patterns, small distinct clouds may be misidentified as parts of one single large-scale structure. Therefore, we searched for contiguous velocity signatures in the KHR worm candidates using integrated HI brightness temperature maps and mean velocity maps (§ 3.1) and individual-channel images.

Except for GW123.4-1.5, we could not confirm any of the other 6 candidates as one continuous extended vertical structure due to one or more of the following reasons:

- the integrated HI brightness temperature maps did not display a significant column density enhancement that would be consistent with a narrow vertical structure resembling the cataloged object at the specified location.
- Individual channel images showed amorphous structures on all spatial scales overlapping in a quasi-random fashion.
- Such amorphous structures displayed no continuity in mean-velocity maps, which suggests that there is no systematic motion.
- The velocity fields of vertical structures apparent in integrated HI brightness temperature maps were random. That is, they did not display continuity when viewed channel by channel. For example, none of these structures show a flow towards or away from the Galactic plane which we might expect to see if the worm were inclined to our line of sight.

As an example, we plot the integrated HI brightness temperature and mean-velocity maps (§ 3.1) of GW131.3-2.2 in Figure 3. [E4] These maps were made over the entire velocity range specified by KHR, *viz.* -59.6 km s^{-1} — -16.9 km s^{-1}. Figure 3 (a) shows the integrated HI brightness temperature map in greyscale overplotted with contours of 100 μ emission; the heavy line outlines the region of the worm specified in the KHR catalog. It is quite apparent that the amorphous emission in the plane dominates the image and there is little discernible enhancement in HI and IR emission within the KHR outline of GW131.3-2.2 (worm # 56). Figure 3 (b) shows the mean-velocity map in greyscale, the outline of the KHR worm candidate, and is overlaid with velocity contours in order to bring out trends in the velocity map. The velocity contours appear quasi-random which is suggestive of turbulent motion of the interstellar gas.

The above approach is not sufficient since integrated maps present mean trends over a large velocity range. That is, we would not expect to recover a vertical structure like GW123.4-1.5 with a velocity half-width of ~ 15 km s^{-1} if it were embedded in the velocity range given by the KHR catalog (*e.g.* , for GW123.4-1.5, the KHR velocity range reads $v = -58.3$ km s^{-1} to -10.8km s^{-1}). Figures 4 and 5 describe another approach. They display eight integrated HI brightness temperature maps over the same area of the sky as in Figure 3, each made by stepping through the velocity channels in the specified velocity range of the candidate. Each of these images was created by integrating six neighboring channels, resulting in a velocity width of ~ 5 km s^{-1}. A worm candidate should display a significant vertical column density enhancement in more than one map, perhaps with only a part of the worm existing in each image and systematically appearing from one velocity to another. Such a pattern could imply a vertical motion, but other configurations of velocity structures were also included in our search.

[E4]NOTE TO EDITOR: Please put Figures 3 a & b here.

E5

Within the KHR velocity range of the worm candidate, there are 2 different density enhanced structures, each of which covers 2 integrated maps as required by our criteria. The first one appears in Figure 4 (-31 km s^{-1} < v <-22 km s^{-1}), but we note that the velocity pattern seems more horizontal than vertical. The other enhancement is shown in Figure 5 (-46 km s^{-1} < v <-37 km s^{-1}). This feature is very diffuse and extends only to b= $-2.5°$ although the KHR catalog lists the worm candidate's total extent as b= $-4.0°$. Thus, neither of these enhanced structures have the spatial or velocity structure expected of a genuine HI structure. The portion of the low-resolution KHR worm that extends beyond $b = -3°$ is probably also composed of separate amorphous density enhancements which are physically unrelated. We believe this since a well-studied shell, GSH132.6–0.7–25.3 (Normandeau, *et al.* 2000), stands out clearly in the integrated HI brightness temperature maps in Figure 4 (-31 km s^{-1} < v < -22 km s^{-1}), and the mean velocity map in Figure 3. This demonstrates the usefulness of this approach for detecting HI structures that have most of their area within the latitude limits of the CGPS.

4.2. Notes on Individual Candidates

We studied the vertical structures using the same techniques as for KHR worm candidate search (see above) and describe the interesting candidates in detail below.

[E5]NOTE TO EDITOR: Please place Figures 4 and 5 facing each other nearby.

4.2.1. INVS G79.3+0.9-39

INVS G79.3+0.9-39 was distinguished from the surrounding ambient medium due to a cavity-like structure on its lower end and a velocity continuity over $\sim 12\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$. For a kinematic distance of 7.9 kpc (Brand & Blitz 1993) , this structure has a height of 200 pc. Thus, it is not a structure that may interact with the Galactic halo. We estimate a HI mass of $2.9 \times 10^3\,M_\odot$, much smaller than the template worm GW123.4-1.5. Figure 6 shows that well-correlated emission in $100\,\mu$ and 21-cm radio continuum is associated with an object displaced by $\sim 20'$ away from INVS G79.3+0.9-39. We do not find convincing evidence of any correlation between the vertical structure and this amorphous emission. Interestingly, an HII region (SIMBAD ID: GAL 079.30+01.30) at -10 km $\mathrm{s^{-1}}$ relative to the central velocity of INVS G79.3+0.9-39 appears in absorption along-side the HI vertical structure. INVS G79.3+0.9-39 may be associated with another vertical structure INVS G79.3+2.8-40, which appears at almost the same central velocity (but not over the entire velocity range; see below).

[E6]

4.2.2. INVS G79.3+2.8-40

INVS G79.3+2.8-40 was identified in the HI cubes as a faint extended vertical structure with a contiguous velocity signature across its length. At a kinematic distance of 7.9 kpc, this structure starts at $\sim 200\,\mathrm{pc}$ from the plane and ends at $\sim 500\,\mathrm{pc}$ away from the plane. Thus, this object may be interacting with the halo of the Milky Way. It has a total HI mass of $3.7 \times 10^3\,M_\odot$. Figure 7 displays the integrated HI brightness temperature map for this structure overplotted with contours of IRAS 100μ emission. The candidate is the faintest of

[E6]NOTE TO EDITOR: Please spread Figures 6- 18 from here onwards.

all our candidates, and it appears in the same longitude as INVS G79.3+0.9-39 with a large overlap in the velocity range. Both structures are separated by an amorphous structure in the middle ($b \sim 2°$) yet a physical association is suggested by the velocity overlap. In the event of a possible association, the formation of such a structure could be interesting from the point of view of the disk-halo interaction scenarios.

4.2.3. INVS G111.4-0.2-45, G119.8+2.2-37, G110.8-2.2-45: a candidate supershell?

The individual-channel maps from the LRDS (see § 2.1) are dominated by what seems to be one large-scale feature, which, at a kinematic distance of 4.5 kpc, has a height of ~ 500 pc. It has the morphological appearance of a broken supershell. The velocity signature, however, does not support this, and this object was not previously cataloged as a supershell. Figure 8 shows the integrated HI brightness temperature map of this region made using the LRDS data combined with the 26-meter single dish data acquired at higher latitudes for the CGPS-II survey (Higgs *et al.* 2004). This map is integrated over the velocity range of v= -55 km s^{-1} to -30 km s^{-1}. This low-resolution structure contains four candidate vertical structures: INVS G111.4-0.2-45, G119.8+2.2-37, G110.8-2.2-45, and INVS G115.2+1.9-41. INVS G111.4-0.2-45, G119.8+2.2-37, and G110.8-2.2-45 are annotated in the figure, showing that they extend to high latitudes. INVS G111.4-0.2-45, and G119.8+2.2-37 suggest the outline of a shell. INVS G110.8-2.2-45 falls outside the region covered by the CGPS, and was identified as a candidate based on the LRDS data alone. The fourth structure, INVS G115.2+1.9-41, lays between the vertical structures labeled in Figure 8 and is described in more detail in a section below. Figure 9 displays the integrated HI brightness temperature map of the shell-like structure, $-3° < b < 5°$, in the CGPS data, integrated over the same velocity range as above.

Figure 10 shows the emission from 100 μ (IRAS), CO (FCRAO) and HI (LRDS) over

the same portion of the sky, where the CO and HI data were both integrated through the same velocity range specified above. Though there is plenty of dust associated with this region, the correlation between the dust and the HI emission along the vertical extent of each subcomponent labeled in Figure 8 is poor. Therefore, these narrow vertical structures do not fit the "worm" definition (none of these structures were included in the KHR catalog). The CO emission is concentrated in the region of INVS G111.4-0.2-45, and less prominently along INVS G119.8+2.2-37. Given the overlap of molecular gas in velocity and spatial coordinates with HI, we believe a significant portion of molecular gas is associated with the HI structure.

Six intensity maps, each integrated over 5 km s^{-1}, spanning the velocity range above, are mosaicked in Figure 11. INVS G111.4-0.2-45 has prominent emission over the entire velocity range, however, INVS G119.8+2.2-37 is prominent at velocities $v < -45\,\mathrm{km\ s^{-1}}$. There no signature of an overall expansion in the velocity maps of these structures. In fact, each vertical structure displays its own velocity behavior (or spatial connections from channel to channel; § 3.1) which is distinct from others. Given the different CO, IR, and high-resolution HI properties of INVS G111.4-0.2-45 and G119.8+2.2-37, they imply that these objects are not walls of an expanding supershell.

Nevertheless, INVS G111.4-0.2-45 is important from the point of view of the disk-halo scenarios. It is $\sim 500\,\mathrm{pc}$ tall in CGPS-I and the 26-m telescope HI data ($30'$ resolution) from CGPS-II show that it continues to maintain its structure at higher latitudes ($b > 6°$). Such a tall structure, and the presence of the associated dust and molecular gas far away ($\gtrsim 300\,\mathrm{pc}$) from the plane deserves a detailed study.

INVS G110.8-2.2-45, for which we only have LRDS data, is displayed in Figure 12. (Note that the HI mass estimate from this lower resolution data is an upper limit since it is likely to include neighboring clouds.) The figure shows dark contours of integrated

HI brightness temperature (over -37 to -51 km s^{-1}) overlapping the IRAS 100 μ emission map represented in greyscale. There is fainter, yet significant infrared emission extending below the LRDS range (b $< -5^{\circ}$, following the light contours) and, from its morphology, we believe that some of this emission could also be related to the INVS candidate.

4.2.4. INVS G114.2-3.2-23

Figure 13 displays the column density map of this INVS, with a blob-like structure at b $\sim -3.2^{\circ}$ which narrows down along the longitudinal direction in the lower part of the image (at b $\sim -3.5^{\circ}$), appearing like a narrow channel. At a distance of 2.2 kpc this object is just 20 pc tall, but it has a very interesting velocity signature. The mean velocity of the HI changes smoothly, yet significantly, along the length of the narrow channel, and is portrayed in figure 14 (see § 3.1). The velocity signature across the length displays a possible expansion signature. Thus, the high resolution HI properties of this object match those of the stem of GW123.4-1.5 (§ 3.1.1). The extended structure on top of the narrow structure ($b \sim 3^{\circ}$) does not share the same velocity signatures, nor does it show any distinct correlated emission in the IR maps. Its association with the narrow structure is not entirely clear (see below).

The archival LDS data show an extended HI structure at the location of the candidate. There is discernible IR emission associated with this structure (see Figure 15), which has a narrow vertical appearance with a "bean"-like feature at its end. The constructed IR ratio map using 100 and 25 μ IRAS images (obtained from the SkyView database) do not distinguish the extended emission from the ambient emission. However, the HI and IR morphology of this structure match reasonably with our template. This is a likely candidate for another mushroom-shaped vertical structure, which needs to be verified with high-resolution HI data at lower latitudes ($-4.5 < b < -3$). That may also clarify the entire

morphology of this object and its association with the extended structure on the top (b $\sim -3°$). Even with a likely extension to -4.2°, INVS G114.2-3.5-23 would be only ~ 50 pc tall. Thus, it is insignificant from the point of view of disk-halo phenomena.

4.2.5. INVS 115.2+1.9-41

Figure 16 displays the integrated HI brightness temperature map of this structure in greyscale overplotted with contours of 100μ emission. At a distance of 3.8 kpc, the object is ~ 200 pc tall. Even though there is a slight velocity gradient across the length, it is not significant and our velocity analysis may also be affected by the unavoidable inclusion of ambient emission. The IR emission displays some spatial correlation with the HI map at the bottom of the structure (up to b$\sim 3.4°$), which reduces in the top half of the structure (up to b$\sim 5°$), where the HI structure appears fragmented . Faint IR emission is found over a large angular area without any visible correlation with HI morphology, it appears fragmented in the figure due to chosen contour levels. This object falls within the supershell-like structure discussed in § 4.2.3 and has a significant overlap in velocity channels. However, its narrower velocity spread makes INVS G115.2-1.9-41 less conspicuous in Figure 8, which is made over a velocity interval of > 30 km s^{-1}. The structure is more discernible in channel maps shown in Figure 11. Given its height of 200 pc, it is not likely a significant player in the disk-halo scenario.

4.2.6. INVS G76.8+0.8+20 and G85+1.6+33

Figures 17 and 18 display two vertical structures of large angular extent, both of which are ~ 100 pc tall. INVS G76.8+0.8+20 and G85+1.6+33 appear at forbidden velocities and do not display any signature of a systematic gradient along their length. Their positive

velocities could be the result of the typical 10-20 km s^{-1} motion caused by the gravitational tug by the Local Arm on these gas clouds. Also, given that these longitudes intersect lines of sight through the inner part of the Galaxy, a maximum red-shifted (positive) velocity would be measured at a tangent point. If either of these objects is close to such a point plus in a spiral arm, again they could display positive velocities. Neither of them displays significant spatially correlated emission in the IR, radio continuum, or CO maps. While their morphology suggests a stellar wind origin, it is really their small scales, that imply that they do not play a role in the disk-halo scenario.

5. Discussion

In Table 1, we list 10 candidate structures matching our criteria of narrow vertical structures. Earlier we discussed properties of the interesting ones individually (§ 4.2).

There is a range of physical sizes, morphologies, column densities, and masses observed in these HI structures, including the vertical structures. Most of the structures are much too small ($\lesssim 100\,\mathrm{pc}$) to be important in the disk-halo connection. However, there are five narrow vertical structures which originate from the Galactic plane and reach to a height of $\gtrsim 200\,\mathrm{pc}$.

Since INVS G111.4-0.2-45 is $\gtrsim 500\,\mathrm{pc}$ tall, it may be involved in some mass and energy transport from the Galactic plane. However, this giant structure was not included in the KHR catalog, even though it has the HI properties matching the worm candidates. It was also not found when the KHR algorithm was utilized to confirm candidates using the LDS data (Normandeau 2003, see § 1). We believe that this structure was missed due to a combination of factors: 1) Koo, Heiles & Reach (1992) used column density maps to produce the catalog; 2) there is a lot of structure in the HI channel maps around $l = 110°$;

and 3) the IR emission does not correlate well with the morphology of INVS G111.4-0.2-45. The first two reasons are primarily responsible for our non-confirmation of KHR candidates in the CGPS fields (§ 4.1). As detailed in § 4.1, all of the six KHR worm candidates were found to be composed of smaller, unrelated HI structures overlapping in the velocity maps. In order to avoid this sort of confusion of features from unrelated structures, one has to view channel maps with enough spatial and velocity resolution. The mean velocity map can also be useful in such an exercise (§ 3.1). We caution that we could only search for six KHR candidates in the CGPS data (apart from the confirmed worm GW123.4-1.5), given the narrow latitudinal coverage of the survey. However, our results show that we need to revise our ideas about worm population, and data away from the Galactic plane will be needed for a comprehensive understanding.

The 10 HI structures also differ widely in the amount of the associated dust and molecular emission. Five structures with length $\gtrsim 2^\circ$ have an IR morphology correlated with their HI morphology. For two structures, at some locations molecular emission in the same velocity channels was found to to coincide with portions of the HI morphology, but not along the whole extent. Thus, we did not find any systematic pattern in the properties of the different objects.

5.1. How Many Narrow Vertical Structures?

Since we have conducted an unbiased search for structures with high quality, uniform data, we can reasonably extrapolate to estimate the total of number of observable narrow vertical structures with similar characteristics in our Galaxy. Such an estimate is useful to constrain various physical models of the formation of these objects. However, our search had its own systematics and limitations, discussed in § 5.1.1, which the reader should keep it in mind in § 5.1.2.

5.1.1. Systematics of Visual Inspection

A visual search such as ours is the simplest approach for detecting structures in HI cubes. However, it is subject to the analyst's visualization scheme and the constraints imposed by the data.

E7

Probably the most significant limitation is the latitude range of the CGPS. Our intuition is that we do not recognize vertical structures, since the "footprint" of one looks quite different than the expected morphology of an INVS. Two examples, other than GW123.4-1.5 itself, demonstrate this. In Figures 19(a & b) we show the CGPS data range for local arm velocities that display a broad amorphous structure, indistinguishable from other ambient medium features at $b \lesssim 0°$. At latitudes beyond the survey limits ($b < -3°$), we see that the structure is the broad base of an INVS that has a channel much like the stem in GW123.4-1.5. Another example is in Figure 9, which is a supermosaic of CGPS HI mosaics from fields MC-MF on both sides of the Galactic plane (8 fields in total). Examining individual fields alone did not reveal the full extent of structures. Motivated by an apparent feature in the low-resolution LRDS maps, we combined the CGPS fields and the resultant map shows that INVS G111.4-0.2-45 is a vertical structure with an angular height of $\geq 5°$. Both these examples indicate that structures extending to high latitudes do not have definitive "footprints" in the plane, and that we have only recognized a fraction of INVS.

This does not explain why we do not detect disk-halo conduits at distances larger than 8 kpc, however. In § 3.1.2, we elaborate on our refined search for objects with heights $\gtrsim 500\,\mathrm{pc}$. We note, that even the limited latitude coverage and resolution of the

[E7]NOTE TO EDITOR: Please place Figures 19(a & b) here.

CGPS should allow the identification of a mushroom-shaped candidate, between $\sim 8 - 23$ kpc. Perhaps this rarity of INVS can be explained by a low column density contrast between the feature and the ambient medium.

For example, the mean excess column density of the mushroom-shaped worm GW123.4-1.5 above the background, over the velocity range of the entire structure, is $N_{HI} = 6 \times 10^{22}\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$, which is roughly equal to the average background over the same velocity range. The entire structure is only discernible in data collected $\sim 3^{\circ}$ beyond the CGPS survey, yet even the stem appears prominently in CGPS channel maps partly due to the fact that the worm is located in the HI gap in LSR velocity between the local and the Perseus arm. However, similar candidates may not be so fortuitously located and thus may not be visible due to residing in a region of substantial ambient emission. This makes a nonlinear scaling of the greyscale in a channel map necessary to enhance weak extended features, and this scaling needs to change from channel to channel depending upon the intensity in the entire channel map. Although, our analysis consciously included a variable scaling over the entire channel range, we still may not have recognized vertical features which lacked a velocity gradient, or other strong velocity signatures.

To access the dependence of our search on an individual analyst's visual bias, we performed a limited test in which four of us independently searched multiple CGPS HI mosaics and compared our lists of detected objects. Although it would be valuable to compare our assessment of vertical structures with computer algorithms that detect structure in the CGPS HI cubes, at this stage the algorithms are limited to finding HI small-scale or shell-like phenomena associated with SNR, HII regions and Wolf-Rayet stars (Daigle *et al.* 2003; Khalil, Joncas & Nekka 2004).

The 3 co-authors analyzed animations of some mosaics of the CGPS data cubes, particularly 2 mosaics which the first author assessed as containing INVS. They searched

for features which satisfied the first 2 criteria in section 3.1. That is, they looked for vertical objects with an appearance of spatial and velocity continuity over 8 channels. Although an individual author did not duplicate the detections of the first author, the combined detections by the co-authors recovered all three INVS and the one irregular structure in this field. Many other irregular structures were found. However, subsequent assessment showed that their motions were too random to consider the objects as genuinely spatially connected. All authors detected the known shell GSH132.6-07-25.3 demonstrating that the visual inspection approach works well for an object that appears moderately cohesive over 10 km/s, even if it is juxtaposed on ambient emission features. Significantly, none of the four analysts assessed the emission apparently associated with the KHR worm candidate GW131.3-2.2 (see § 4.1) as belonging to a narrow vertical structure.

To summarize, this exercise demonstrates that the main analyst is unlikely to mistake as INVS any structures produced by turbulent cloud motions. In fact, due to a strict consideration of a feature's velocity behavior, his number of selected features is lower than the combined number of features selected by the other analysts. Also the number of resulting INVS is likely to be conservative due to the low column density contrast or inability to recognize INVS footprints. However each of his cataloged features has been confirmed by one other analyst. Therefore it is unlikely that the main analyst missed a moderately cohesive, vertical structure that was recognized due to being narrow very near the midplane.

5.1.2. The Galactic Population of INVS

The CGPS survey covers a longitude range of $74° - 147°$, which is roughly 20% of the planar surface area of the Galaxy outside the solar circle. Adopting an outer radius for the Galaxy of at least 18 kpc (Wolfire, McKee, Hollenbach, & Tielens 2003) and a solar radius

of 8.5 kpc, the survey area amounts to 16% of the total surface area of the Milky Way. We found one narrow vertical structure with a vertical extent greater than 450 pc within the surveyed area of the plane. If we further assume such vertical structures are distributed uniformly across the Galactic plane, we expect the total number to be 6.

Such a number is much smaller than the KHR catalog of the candidate worms over the entire Galaxy. As we discussed above, many of the structures in their survey could result from the confusion of HI emission structures over many channels. The distribution of vertical structures in the Galaxy is the only assumption made here. However, if connected to star formation, these structures are expected to be associated with the spiral arms and may be more frequent in the inner Galaxy where the star forming rate is higher than in the outer Galaxy. Also, we believe our detection rate to be low due to the limited latitude range and other limitations associated with the visual inspection described above.

5.2. Possible origins of high-z structures

As mentioned earlier, there could be three possible subgroups of vertical structures based on their HI masses ($M \lesssim 10^3\,M_\odot$, $2\times10^4\,M_\odot \leq M \leq 6\times10^4\,M_\odot$, and $M > 10^5\,M_\odot$). Given the apparent range in sizes and properties, we believe that a single type of event could not give rise to all these diverse vertical HI structures.

Worm candidates were postulated to be the remnant HI walls of supershells associated with superbubbles. What can be said about the vertical structures found in our search? Given a general lack of associated HII regions, none of our structures appear to be related to supershell phenomena.

Due to its small HI masses ($\sim 100 M_\odot$) and poor association with dust, we can speculate that G85+1.6+33, could have been formed by shocks, say due to stellar winds or

younger SNRs.

Although INVS G79.3+0.9-39 has a significant HI mass, it may also be an example of a feature associated with a stellar wind phenomenon. Displaced from its base is an HII region situated within an HI cavity. There is significant radio continuum and IR emission superimposed around this cavity-like structure (designated in Table 1 as G79.4+1.1-41). INVS G79.3+2.8-40 could be an extension of INVS G79.3+0.9-39, if so we can speculate that they resulted from past stellar wind activity associated with the HII region.

INVS G111.4-0.2-45 appears to be large enough to be important in the disk-halo scenario. For comparison, the CGPS HI chimney, or burst superbubble, candidate (discovered by Normandeau, *et al.* 2000) located above W4 star forming region, has IR and radio continuum emission that is associated with the HI structure appropriate for a chimney. INVS G111.4-0.2-45, on the other hand, does not have a large star forming region near its base. Additionally, it is hard to explain the molecular gas apparently associated with the HI at 4° ($\gtrsim 300\,\mathrm{pc}$) away from the plane. Thus, an association of this structure with chimney-like activity seems unlikely.

Alternatively, we could imagine, that INVS G111.4-0.2-45 resulted from a collision of a high velocity cloud with the Galactic plane (Tenorio-Tagle *et al.* 1987). Formation of large structures with large HI masses ($10^4 - 10^6\,M_\odot$) is possible in this scenario. The low shock velocity in such collisions generates much less ionized gas than the chimney activity, and self gravity in the cooled, shocked gas can result in clouds of molecular gas far away from the plane. A cloud collision can take place anywhere in the plane of the disk, which makes an association with a star forming region unnecessary.

However the scenarios most relevant to our investigation are the ones that form extended disk-halo features by means of buoyant forces. In these scenarios, a single SN need not be the only source of input energy for the creation of buoyant structures; it can

be provided by the ionized gas reservoirs (de Avillez & Mac Low 2001, and references therein), a collision between clouds, or even the modest impact of a cloud falling onto the plane. Although in the CGPS-I it lacks the mushroom-shape, INVS G111.4-0.2-45 has a comparable HI mass and extent to GW123.4-1.5 and so we should not neglect the buoyant cloud picture. The preliminary examination in CGPS-II which suggests it may extend to twice this height does not eliminate the buoyant structure scenario, since Irwin & Chaves (2003) show that structures with $10^7 M_\odot$ can theoretically evolve buoyantly for many kilo-parsecs; their detailed model reproduces an observed HI disk-halo feature in an external galaxy. Additionally a buoyant feature will have a mushroom-shape only for part of its evolution and will appear simply as a vertically extension, particularly at late evolutionary stages. We have examined existing simulations by de Avillez and his collaborators, where features similar to but a little shorter than INVS G111.4-0.2-45 are created by stellar activity in the disk, surviving over a few million years. Specifically, the one in de Avillez (2000)'s figure 13(b) at position (200, -300 pc) is $\lesssim$ 400 pc tall. This simulation is the same in which de Avillez & Mac Low (2001) find buoyant mushroom-shaped clouds. Independently, simulations by Korpi *et al.* (1999) also show similar structures, see their Figure 5 (at 250, 500 pc), surviving over 2-3 Myr.

5.3. Mushroom-shaped INVS and old SNRs

Finally, what can we say about mushroom-shaped worms? Since we did not detect any more vertical structures with that morphology, we are not in a position to establish the evolution of old SNRs into a mushroom shaped cloud (English *et al.* 2000). However, assuming this scenario occurs, we can consider the rarity of this observation.

In the toy model produced for English *et al.* (2000) an evolving SNR develops a mushroom-shaped cap that lasts for a couple of Myr. Assuming a supernova rate of 1 per

50 years, we will have $\sim 4 \times 10^4$ SNRs in the entire Galaxy over this period and $\sim 6 \times 10^3$ may have formed over 16% of the disk surface area. Thus we observe one buoyant cloud out of the several thousand that could potentially form mushroom-shapes.

While the issues related to visually detecting HI clouds (§ 5.1.1) play a role in producing the limited number, so could the environment of the SN blast. Firstly, the SN must occur in a low density region in order to evolve for a number of Myrs into a mushroom shape. Secondly, a single SN needs to be at least slightly displaced from the midplane in order to experience the pressure gradient that will cause the bubble to rise buoyantly. Its rise may be less inhibited if the SN event occurs higher out of the midplane or between spiral arms. While these displacements may also render the cloud less confused with ambient emission, the cloud may not accumulate a sufficient amount of HI gas and/or dust during its evolution to be detectable. Alternatively, if a rising buoyant cloud encounters a dense medium, it may be dispersed or become deformed beyond recognition.

In the supernova scenario, one may expect to find some similarities, and even a systematic pattern in the properties of GW123.4-1.5-like HI clouds and old SNRs. The difficulty lies in the paucity of old SNRs; there are only 7 candidates with ages $\geq 10^5$ yr in the literature. Also, while it could be enlightening to compare the IR properties of GW123.4-1.5-like structures with old SNR, only two of the literature's old SNR candidates have morphologies that are distinct in the IR (G166.2+2.5 and GSH132.6–0.7–25.3). We found, following the procedure of Saken, Fesen & Shull (1992) for forming IR ratio maps, that the morphology of G166.2+2.5 is only apparent in I_{100}/I_{60} and only marginally distinct from the ambient emission for GSH132.6–0.7–25.3 in I_{100}/I_{60}. Thus the characteristic range of IR ratio values for a normal population of old SNRs is unknown and we cannot currently use this to property to determine if GW123.4-1.5 is related to SNRs. However this could be a strong test if larger IR and HI databases for evolved SNRs existed; it would be useful

if such an IR database avoids the mid-plane, where it is difficult to distinguish shells from ambient emission. In the absence of large databases, theoretical models of the predicted IR and HI emission from old (> 1 Myr) SNRs could be generated in order to support this test.

UV cooling is expected to be inefficient and hence UV observations may be better for characterizing and comparing old SNRs. However the intervening HI obscures any potential UV radiation from GW123.4-1.5.

5.4. Future Work

Developing computer algorithms to detect vertical features could circumvent the biases inherent in visual inspection. More importantly, HI studies at higher latitudes are needed to securely recognize features important in the disk-halo connection either visually or algorithmically. Currently, observations at Galactic latitudes up to $b = 18^\circ$ ($110^\circ < l < 118^\circ$) in the second phase of the CGPS (CGPS-II), are over. One of us (AA) is pursuing more observations with the DRAO synthesis telescope at latitudes of $\gtrsim 6^\circ$, contiguous to the above mentioned data. Similar high-latitude synthesis observations using the DRAO telescope have been proposed to study the Perseus complex, which will doubtlessly contribute to the disk-halo studies as well.

Future surveys, using instruments such as Arecibo L-Band Feed Array (ALFA), plan to map the sky in radio recombination lines in order to detect the diffuse ionized gas generated by the disk-halo phenomena[5]. In the case of GW123.4-1.5, rather than studying it in IR emission, attempts could be made to detect recombination lines from any ionized gas inside the cap. If gas with temperatures greater than 10^5 K were detected at particular locations within the cap, this could support a SN origin for this mushroom-shaped cloud.

[5]http://alfa.naic.edu/galactic/recomb/alfa_recomb.html

This research is supported by the International Galactic Plane Survey (IGPS), the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada, and the University of Manitoba. AA was supported by an IGPS Postdoctoral Fellowship. The CGPS is a Canadian project with international partners, supported by a grant from NSERC. We are grateful to J. Dickey, T. Landecker, S. Basu and T. Kudoh for useful discussions. We also thank the anonymous referee whose suggestions have improved our manuscript.

REFERENCES

de Avillez, M., & Mac Low, M.-M. 2001, ApJ, 551, L57

de Avillez, M. A. 2000, MNRAS, 315, 479

Belfort, P. & Crovisier, J.Ĩ984, A&A, 136, 368

Brand, J., & Blitz, L. 1993, å, 275, 67

Burton, W. B. 1988a, in Galactic and Extragalactic Radio Astronomy, ed. G. L. Verschuur & K. I. Kellermann (New York: Springer), 295

Burton, W. B. & Hartmann, D. 1994, Ap&SS, 217, 189

Cao, Y., Terebey, S., Prince, T. A., & Beichman, C. A. 1997, ApJS, 111, 387

Daigle, A., Joncas, G., Parizeau, M., & Miville-Deschnes, M.-A. 2003, PASP, 115, 662

Dennison, B., Topasna, G. A., & Simoneti, J. H. 1997, ApJ, 474, L31

Dickey, John M., McClure-Griffiths, N. M., Stanimirovic, Snezana, Gaensler, B. M., Green, A. J. ApJ, 561, 264

Dickey, J. M., & Lockman, F. J. 1990, ARAA, 28, 215

Duncan, A. R., Haynes, R. F., Stewart, R. T. & Jones, K. L. 1995, MNRAS, 277, 319

English, J., Taylor, A. R., Mashchenko, S. Y., Irwin, J. A., Basu, S., & Johnstone, Doug 2000, ApJ, 533, L25

Finkbeiner, D. 2003, ApJS, 146, 407

Forbes, D. 2000, ApJ, 120, 2594

Ghazzali, N., Joncas, G., & Jean, S. 1999, ApJ, 511, 242

Gooch, R. E. 1996, Pub. Astron. Soc. Aus., 14, 106

Goldman, I. 2000, ApJ, 541, 701

van Gorkom, J. H. & Ekers, R. D. 1989, ASP Conf. Ser. 6: Synthesis Imaging in Radio Astronomy, 341

Heiles, C., Reach, W. T., Koo, B-C 1996, ApJ, 466, 191

Heiles, C. 1984, ApJS, 55, 585

Heiles, C., Chu, Y.-H., Troland, T. H., Reynolds, R. J., & Yegingil, I. 1980, ApJ, 242, 533

Heyer, M. H., Brunt, C. M., Snell, R. L., Howe, J. E., Schloerb, F. P., & Carpenter, J. M. 1998, ApJS, 115, 241

Higgs, L.A, Landecker, T.L., Asgekar, A., Davison, O.S., Rothwell, T.A., & Yar-Uyaniker, A. 2004, AJ, submitted.

Higgs, L. A, & Tapping, K. F. 2000, AJ, 120, 2471

Irwin, J. A. & Chaves, T. 2003, ApJ, 585, 268

Kerton, C. R., & Martin, P. G. 2000, ApJS, 126, 85

Khalil, A., Joncas, G., & Nekka, F. 2004, ApJ, 601, 352

Koo, B-C, Heiles, C., & Reach, W. T. 1992, ApJ, 390, 108

Korpi, M. J., Brandenburg, A., Shukurov, A., & Tuominen, I. 1999, å, 350, 230

Landecker, T. L., Dewdney, P. E., Burgess, T. A., Gray, A. D., Higgs, L. A., Hoffmann, A. P., Hovey, G. J., Karpa, D. R., Lacey, J. D., Prowse, N., Purton, C. R., Roger, R. S., Willis, A. G., Wyslouzil, W., Routledge, D., Vaneldik, J. F. 2000, A&AS, 145, 509

Landecker, T. L., Clutton-Brock, M. & Purton, C. R. 1990, Å, 232, 207

Lockman, F. J., Hobbs, L. M., & Shull, J. M. 1986, ApJ, 301, 380

Mac Low, M.-M., McCray, R., Norman, M. L. 1989, ApJ, 337, 141

Matthews, B. C., Wallace, B. J., & Taylor, A. R. 1998, ApJ, 493, 312

McClure-Griffiths, N. M., Dickey, J. M., Gaensler, B. M., Green, A. J. 2003, ApJ, 594, 833

McClure-Griffiths, N. M., Dickey, J. M., Gaensler, B. M., Green, A. J., Haynes, R. F., & Wieringa, M. H. 2000, ApJ, 119, 2828

McGlynn, T., Scollick, K., & White, N. 1996, "SkyView: The Multi-Wavelength Sky on the Internet", in New Horizons from Multi-Wavelength Sky Surveys, ed.s McLean, B.J. et al., Kluwer Academic Publishers, IAU Symposium No. 179, p465.

Müller, P., Reif, K., & Reich, W. 1987, å, 183, 327

Norman, C. A., & Ikeuchi, S. 1989, ApJ, 345, 372

Normandeau, M., personal communication

Normandeau, M., Taylor, A. R., & Dewdney, P. E. 1996, Nature, 380, 687

Normandeau, M., Taylor, A. R., Dewdney, P. E., & Basu, S. 2001, ApJ, 119, 2982

Pineault S. & Gilles, J. 2000, 120, 3218

Reynolds, R. J. 1989, ApJ, 339, L29

Reynolds, R. J. 1991, ApJ, 372, L17

Routledge, D., Landecker, T. L. & Vaneldik, J. F. 1986, MNRAS, 221, 809

Saken, J. M., Fesen, R. A., Shull, J. Michael 1992, ApJS, 81, 715

Sofue, Y. & Reich, W. 1979, A&AS, 38, 251

Stil, J. M., & Irwin, J. A. 2001, ApJ, 563, 816

Takahiro, J., & Basu, S. 2003, in preparation

Taylor, A. R., Gibson, S. J., Peracaula, M., Martin, P. G., Landecker, T. L., Brunt, C. M., Dewdney, P. E., Dougherty, S. M., Gray, A. D., Higgs, L. A., Kerton, C. R., Knee, L. B. G., Kothes, R., Purton, C. R., Uyaniker, B., Wallace, B. J., Willis, A. G., & Durand, D. 2003, AJ, 125, 3145

Tenorio-Tagle, G., Franco, J., Bodenheimer, P., & Rozyczka, M. 1987, A&A, 179,219

Tomisaka, K. 1990, ApJ, 361, L5

Tomisaka, K. 1998, MNRAS, 298, 797

Uyaniker, B. & Kothes, R. 2002, ApJ, 574, 805

Wolfire, M. G., McKee, C. F., Hollenbach, D., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 2003, ApJ, 587, 278

This manuscript was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.

Fig. 1.— Velocity signature of GW123.4-1.5: (a,b,c) The integrated HI brightness temperature over the LSR velocity ranges of -32 to -41 km s^{-1}, -38 to -47 km s^{-1}, and -44 to -51 km s^{-1}, respectively; the gray scale stretch is arbitrary. The figures highlight the appearance and velocity signature, in a velocity cube, of this worm which we used to develop our search template. The stem appears from one velocity extremity to another gradually, and the cap exists at velocities distinct from the base of the stem. (d) Mean-velocity map of the worm over -32 to -51 km s^{-1}. An arbitrary stretch was used to highlight the velocity signatures of the stem and the cap with respect to the ambient gas. Blue was assigned to the more red-shifted velocities. The stem has a marked velocity gradient of 12 ± 3 km s^{-1} along its length and a signature of a possible expansion across its width. The cap does not show signatures of any significant expansion, although the lobes appear to be falling towards the Galactic plane. Note that we do not include the structures at latitudes $b < -5.0^{\circ}$ as part of the cap.

Fig. 2.— Infrared-ratio map (I_{100}/I_{25}) of GW123.4-1.5. This ratio map was made from background subtracted infrared images from IRAS survey; the greyscale stretches over values of 12-37, where 37 is black. The contours of an HI integrated brightness temperature map (300 to 900 K, in steps of 300K), over the velocity range of -49.5 to -25.8 km s^{-1} and smoothed over 5 pixels, are overplotted to indicate the outline of the worm structure. The cap is well demarcated; however the stem remains undistinguished in either the ratio map or the individual IR maps (not shown). Note that we do not include the structures at latitudes $b < -5.0^{\circ}$ as part of the cap.

Fig. 3.— **(a)** Integrated HI brightness temperature map of the worm candidate GW131.3-2.2 overplotted with contours of IR 100-μ emission (10 to 70 MJy Sr^{-1}, where MJy is megaJansky). The CGPS velocity range of the H I greyscale is 17.1 km s^{-1} to -59.2 km s^{-1}. An approximate hook-shaped boundary for GW131.3-2.2 (KHR's "worm 56") is drawn with a heavy line in black, and the worm is emphasized with dashed lines. Another nearby KHR-worm candidate (# 54) is also delineated with KHR's boundaries for this object. The region of $-1^\circ < b < 1^\circ$ was omitted in KHR's analysis: a heavy line in white marks $b = -1^\circ$. **(b)** Mean-velocity map of the worm overplotted with velocity contours between -34 to -44 km s^{-1}, in steps of 4 km s^{-1} each. An approximate boundary of the worm candidates is marked as in figure on the left.

Fig. 4.— Integrated HI brightness temperature maps of GW131.3-2.2 over the velocity range $v = -17$ km s^{-1} to -36 km s^{-1}. An outline of the worm candidates is also drawn as in figure 3. This and the next figure demonstrate that, at the resolution of the CGPS, one cohesive object doesn't span the spatial and velocity domain described in KHR.

Fig. 5.— Integrated HI brightness temperature maps of GW131.3-2.2 over the velocity range $v = -37$ km s^{-1} to -56 km s^{-1}. An outline of the worm candidates is also drawn as in figure 3.

Fig. 6.— Integrated HI brightness temperature map of INVS G79.3+0.9-39 over the velocity range from -30 to -46 km s^{-1}. The greyscale in the figure stretches from about 200 (white) to 1600 K (black). The vertical structure with a width of $l = 79.1°$ to $l = 79.2°$ is at the center of the map, extending vertically from a latitude of $b = 0.8°$ to $b = 2.4°$, with a longitudinally extended diffuse structure at $b \sim 2°$. An HII region at the location of $l \sim 79.3°$ and $b \sim 1.3°$ appears in absorption. (Note, 1° is equivalent to 135 pc.) We have overplotted black contours of IRAS 100 μ emission from 700 to 3000 MJy Sr^{-1} in steps of 1000 MJy Sr^{-1}, and white contours of CGPS radio continuum emission at 21 cm in the range 20-50 K in steps of 15 K. There is significant IR and radio continuum emission, which are well-correlated with each other but not with the HI map of the vertical structure.

Fig. 7.— Integrated HI brightness temperature map of INVS G79.3+2.8-40 over the velocity range from -36 to -49 km s^{-1}. To emphasize the outline of the structure, we have overplotted black contours of the same map in the range 350-550 K, in steps of 150 K. We have overplotted white contours of IRAS 100 μ emission from 200-1000 MJy Sr^{-1} in steps of 200 MJy Sr^{-1}. There is significant IR emission, though it does not show convincing spatial correlation with the HI map.

Fig. 8.— Integrated brightness temperature map from LRDS covering the longitude range $105^\circ < l < 125^\circ$. This map was made by using LRDS data (§ 2.1) from -30 km s^{-1} to -55 km s^{-1}; for a velocity of -47 km s^{-1} at these Galactic longitudes, $1^\circ \sim 77\,\mathrm{pc}$. This also includes some recently acquired observations for CGPS-II high-latitude fields by the DRAO 26-meter dish (Higgs *et al.* 2004). The greyscale goes from 0 K to 1700 K, and the contours are overlaid to highlight faint structures far away from the Galactic plane. Three candidate vertical structures are annotated along with the location of Cas-A SNR, which appears in absorption. The high resolution CGPS mosaics do not cover the entire area of INVS 110.8-2.2-45, and it was studied using LRDS data alone.

Fig. 9.— Integrated HI brightness temperature map of the region between the Galactic longitudes of 107° and 123°. This map was constructed over the velocity of $v = -30$ km s^{-1} to -55 km s^{-1} made using the CGPS data, where the greyscale ranges from 0 K to 3300 K. Two candidate vertical structures INVS G111.4-0.2-45 and G119.8+2.2-37 can be seen. There is a (white) gap in this map in the region that includes Cas-A SNR ($l \sim 112°$, $b \sim -2.2°$) since it is difficult to remove artifacts from images near bright radio sources.

Fig. 10.— IRAS 100μ map of the region between the Galactic longitude of 107° and 123°. The greyscale spans from 19 MJy Sr^{-1} to 9000 MJy Sr^{-1}. An outline of the integrated HI brightness temperature map derived from the LRDS (§ 2.1) data over the velocity range v= -30 km s^{-1} to -55 km s^{-1} is plotted using thick white contours. The black contours represent the integrated HI brightness temperature from the CO spectral-line data over the same velocity range (5 to 50 K in steps of 5 K). Note that a significant amount of molecular gas and dust appear to be associated with the two vertical structure candidates, and are present far away ($\gtrsim 300\,$pc) from the plane of the Galaxy.

Fig. 11.— High-resolution channel maps of the region between the Galactic longitudes 107° and 123°. Six figures show the integrated HI brightness temperature maps of the candidate vertical structures between -24 km s^{-1} to 53 km s^{-1} over 5 km s^{-1} each. The greyscale values range from 0 to about 400 K. A contour outline of the integrated HI brightness temperature map of this region made using the low-resolution LRDS data is overlaid.

Fig. 12.— The candidate INVS 110.8-2.2-45 using LRDS and IRAS data. The figure shows the IRAS 100μ emission, where the greyscale is stretched between 20-160 MJy Sr^{-1}. The dark contours outlining the INVS morphology represent the HI brightness temperature map made by integrating the low resolution LRDS data over -51 $< v <$ -37 km s^{-1}(the contour levels are 700-1200 K in steps of 80 K.) Light grey contours (30-50 MJy Sr^{-1} in steps of 10 MJy Sr^{-1}) are used to outline faint IR emission that appears to extend below the LRDS outline of the INVS. (1° = 77 pc.)

Fig. 13.— Integrated HI brightness temperature map of INVS G114.2-3.2-23 over the velocity range v = -16 km s^{-1} to -26 km s^{-1} using the CGPS data. The greyscale here stretches over 109-571 K. The contours represent the same map smoothed over $\sim 5'$, with levels from 280 to 380 K in steps of 30 K. This structure consists of a blob-like structure at $b \sim -3.2°$, which narrows down along the longitudinal direction in the lower part of the image at $b \sim -3.5°$. ($1° = 38$ pc.)

Fig. 14.— Mean velocity map of candidate INVS G114.2-3.2-23 between -16 to -25 km s^{-1}. The intensity weighted mean velocity map shows the velocity structure of the candidate. This candidate displays a smooth gradient along the narrow part ($b \lesssim -3.3°$), as well as a discernible gradient across its width (latitudinal). This velocity behavior is similar to that of the template stem of GW123.4-1.5. (Blue is assigned to -16 km s^{-1} and red to -25 km s^{-1}.)

Fig. 15.— IR emission possibly associated with INVS G114.2-3.2-23. The IRAS 100μ image is overlaid with white contours of the integrated HI brightness temperature map derived from the smoothened CGPS data (300-500 K in steps of 75 K). The 100μ image greyscale image stretches between 12 (white) to 800 (black) MJy Sr^{-1}.

Fig. 16.— Integrated HI brightness temperature image of INVS G115.2+1.9-41. This CGPS map was constructed over velocities from -33 to -43 km s^{-1}, and is overplotted with black contours of IRAS 100μ images of the range of 75-100 mJy Sr^{-1} in steps of 15 mJy Sr^{-1}. The extent from b=1.9° to b=5.2° corresponds to a linear scale of 200 pc and the widest portion at b=2.54° is 24 pc.

Fig. 17.— Integrated HI brightness temperature map of INVS G76.8+0.8+20. This map was made over a velocity range of 15 to 26 km s^{-1}, where the greyscale extends from 29K to 689 K. The overplotted contours represent the IR 100μ emission ranging between 300 and 1200 mJy Sr^{-1}, in steps of 300 mJy Sr^{-1}. The extent from b=0.8° to b=3.6° corresponds to a linear scale of 95 pc and the widest portion at b=3° is 28 pc.

Fig. 18.— Integrated HI brightness temperature map of INVS G85+1.6+33. This map was made over a velocity range of 23–40 km s^{-1}, where the greyscale extends from 0 to 300 K. The overplotted contours represent the IR 100μ emission ranging between 150 and 400 mJy Sr^{-1} in steps of 100 mJy Sr^{-1}. The extent from b=1.6° to b=4.6° corresponds to a linear scale of 40 pc and the widest portion at b=1.95° is 6 pc.

Fig. 19.— We use these two figures of the same stem-like object to illustrate, that in the survey latitude range of $-3^\circ < b < 0^\circ$ the footprint of a narrow vertical structure can be indistinguishable from the ambient medium. The vertical structure becomes apparent at lower latitudes and exists in 6 continuous channels. Each of the figures shows the sum of 2 channels with the Figure (a) starting at $\sim$ -8 km s^{-1}. Recall each channel is 0.82 km s^{-1}wide.

Table 1. List of narrow, vertical structures and serendipitously found objects. Although we did not search rigorously for shells, cavities, and amorphous clouds, this list includes interesting objects which caught our attention and which may not have previously been studied.

Name[a] ...	CGPS[b] Field	Height[c] (degrees)	Width[c] (degrees)	Velocity[d] FWHM (km s^{-1})	column density contrast[e]	Remarks[f]
INVS G76.8+0.8+20	MO2	2.8	~ 0.75	~ 6	$> 1{:}2$	
INVS G79.3+0.9-39	MN2	1.5	~ 0.16	~ 12	$< 1{:}1.5$	
INVS G79.3+2.8-40	MN2	2.25	0.3	~ 10	$< 1{:}3$	
INVS G85+1.6+33	MM2	3	0.16	$\lesssim 5$	1:3	
INVS G110.8-2.2-45	ME2	5.5	~ 1.25	15	$> 1{:}2$	
INVS G111.4-0.2-45	ME1	3	$\gtrsim 1$	$\gtrsim 12$	1:1.5	
INVS G114.2-3.2-23	ME1	> 0.5	0.2	7	1:3	
INVS G115.2+1.9-41	MD2	3	$\lesssim 1$	~ 10	1:4	
INVS G119.8+2.2-37	MC2	2.5	0.5	~ 10	1:2	
INVS G130.6-3.1-36[g]	MA1	1.3	0.25	6	$< 1{:}2$	
G106-1.5-128	MG1	2	2	$\lesssim 4$	$\lesssim 1:3$	shell/cavity
G116.1-2.3-110	MD1	2	2	5	1:5	candidate shell
G79.4+1.1-41	MN1	0.75	0.75	15	$< 1{:}1.5$	shell/cavity
G126.6+0.8-36	MA2	1	1	8	$< 1{:}1.5$	irregular
G129.3+0.7-34	MA2	2	2	8	1:1.5	irregular
G129.7+1.3-37	MB2	1.5	1.25	10	$< 1{:}2$	irregular
G146+2.5-40	MV2	1	0.3	8	1:1.5	irregular

[a]See § 4 for the convention used to designate the objects. Note that the LSR systemic velocity is the suffix in each name.

[b]See Taylor *et al.* (2003) for the definition of the CGPS field locations.

[c]If a structure tilts east or west we quote approximate latitudinal difference between the top and bottom of the structure as its "height". The widths of structures generally vary along their length; quoted are the typical values.

[d]The HI emission from the structure of interest often has background emission in its wings. Estimating FWHM can be problematic in such cases, and a visual estimate given here has a typical error of 2 km s^{-1}.

[e]Estimated from integrated HI brightness temperature maps.

[f]Objects with a morphology unlike a shell or a vertical structure were termed as 'irregular'.

[g]ocated initially using the CGPS infrared maps.

Table 2. Derived properties of narrow vertical structures listed in Table 1

Name ...	distance & error (kpc)	height (pc)	width (pc)	column density 10^{21} cm^{-2}	HI mass ($M\odot$)	background HI mass ($M\odot$)	Corrected Mass ($M\odot$)
INVS G76.8+0.8+20	1.94[a]	95	25	0.516	2.17×10^3	1.4×10^3	7.7×10^2
INVS G79.3+0.9-39	$7.7^{+0.48}_{-0.5}$	202	22	1.89	1.29×10^5	0.957×10^5	3.3×10^4
INVS G79.3+2.8-40	$7.8^{+0.42}_{-0.5}$	306	41	0.709	1.04×10^5	4.72×10^3	5.7×10^4
INVS G85+1.6+33	0.75[a]	40	2	0.206	1.91×10^2	6.44×10^1	1.3×10^2
INVS G110.8-2.2-45[b]	$4.4^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$	230	77	1.66	5.96×10^5	3.59×10^5	2.4×10^5
INVS G111.4-0.2-45	$4.4^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$	421	96	2.98	3.92×10^5	2.31×10^5	1.6×10^5
INVS G114.2-3.2-23[c]	$2.19^{+0.43}_{-0.46}$	19	8	0.7	1.20×10^3	6.55×10^2	5.5×10^2
INVS G115.2+1.9-41	$3.47^{+0.42}_{-0.47}$	182	60	1.04	6.82×10^4	4.23×10^4	2.6×10^4
INVS G119.8+2.2-37	$3.35^{+0.42}_{-0.48}$	146	30	1.26	5.47×10^4	3.34×10^4	2.1×10^4
INVS G130.6-3.1-36	$3.1^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$	70	14	0.639	6.98×10^3	3.82×10^3	3.1×10^3

[a]For structures at forbidden velocities, the quoted values are for distances to the tangent point locations.

[b]Mass and error estimates from LRDS data only.

[c]Only partially visible in the CGPS data.

Note. — See § 3.2 for the procedure used to derive the tabulated properties.

Table 3. Parameters of worm candidates in the KHR catalog that fall in the CGPS Sky.

Name ···	CGPS Field	Central Velocity (km s^{-1})	Velocity width (km s^{-1})
G89.7-2.4	ML1	-28.5	12.4
G93.7+3.0	MK2	-9.05	12.35
G99.4-1.7	MH1	-9.7	2.4
G107.4-3.3	MF1	-54.95	4.25
G123.4-1.5	MB1	-34.55	23.75
G129.8+1.6	MA2	-40.35	14.15
G131.3-2.2	MY1	-38.25	21.35

Note. — Except for GW123.4-1.5 (the Mushroom), none of these candidates could be confirmed using the high-resolution data from the CGPS, see § 4.1.

Table 4. The parameters for a refined search (§ 3.1.2). Velocities v_{500} are given by the Galactic rotation model (Brand & Blitz 1993) for the locations at which a structure at a height from the Galactic plane of 500 pc is visible in the CGPS data. v_{stem} (v_{cap}) is the velocity at which the stem (cap) becomes inadequately resolved for a secure identification.

CGPS field ...	Central longitude degrees	v_{500} km s^{-1}	v_{stem} km s^{-1}	v_{cap} km s^{-1}
MV	144.7	-64	-91	-113
MW	140.7	-70	-100	-124
MX	136.7	-74	-108	-134
MY	132.7	-78	-115	-143
MA	128.7	-82	-121	-152
MB	124.7	-84	-127	-160
MC	120.7	-87	-132	-167
MD	116.7	-88	-136	-174
ME	112.7	-88	-140	-179
MF	108.7	-88	-142	-184
MG	104.7	-86	-144	-187
MH	100.7	-84	-145	-190
MIJ	096.7	-80	-145	-192
MK	92.7	-77	-144	-193
ML	88.7	-72	-143	-193
MM	84.7	-66	-140	-192
MN	80.7	-60	-137	-190
MO	76.7	-52	-133	-187

This figure "asgekar_fig1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig3a.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig3b.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig4.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig5.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig6.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig7.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig8.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig9.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig10.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig11.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig12.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig13.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig14.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig15.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig16.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig17.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig18.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig19a.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1

This figure "asgekar_fig19b.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:
http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0411738v1